

Pamela K. Fulmer (SBN 154736)
Chantelle C. Egan (SBN 257938)
JONES DAY
555 California Street, 26th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 626-3939
Facsimile: (415) 875-5700
pkfulmer@jonesday.com
cegan@jonesday.com

E-Filed 5/28/2010

6 Brian Selden (SBN 261828)
7 Michael J. Klepich (SBN 260574)
JONES DAY
1755 Embarcadero Road
8 Palo Alto, CA 94303
Telephone: (650) 739-3939
9 Facsimile: (650) 739-3900
10 bgselden@jonesday.com
mklepich@jonesday.com

11 Attorneys for Defendant
RECKITT BENCKISER INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

16 || SAN FRANCISCO TECHNOLOGY INC.,

Case No. 5:10-cv-00966-JF

17 Plaintiffs,

V.

1 THE GLAD PRODUCTS COMPANY,
2 BAJER DESIGN & MARKETING INC.,
3 BAYER CORPORATION, BRIGHT IMAGE
4 CORPORATION, CHURCH & DWIGHT
5 CO. INC., COLGATE-PALMOLIVE
6 COMPANY, COMBE INCORPORATED,
7 THE DIAL CORPORATION, EXERGEN
8 CORPORATION, GLAXOSMITHKLINE
9 LLC, HI-TECH PHARMACAL CO. INC.,
10 JOHNSON PRODUCTS COMPANY INC.,
11 MAYBELLINE LLC, MCNEIL-PPC INC.,
12 MEDTECH PRODUCTS INC., PLAYTEX
13 PRODUCTS INC., RECKITT BENCKISER
14 INC., ROCHE DIAGNOSTICS
15 CORPORATION, SOFTSHEEN-CARSON
16 LLC, SUN PRODUCTS CORPORATION,
17 SUNSTAR AMERICAS INC.

Defendants

**STIPULATION STAYING ALL
PROCEEDINGS UNTIL THE
FEDERAL CIRCUIT ISSUES A FINAL
DECISION IN STAUFFER AND
SETTING DEADLINE FOR
DEFENDANTS TO MOVE OR PLEAD
TO 30 DAYS THEREAFTER AND
[PROPOSED] ORDER**

1 Plaintiff San Francisco Technology Inc. (“Plaintiff”) and the undersigned defendants, The
 2 Glad Products Company, Bajer Design & Marketing Inc., Colgate-Palmolive Company, Combe
 3 Incorporated, and Reckitt Benckiser Inc. (“Defendants”), through their respective counsel, hereby
 4 make the following stipulation (the “Stipulation”).

5 WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed its complaint (D.I. 1) on March 5, 2010 (the “Complaint”)
 6 alleging that each of the Defendants has falsely marked articles in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 292;

7 WHEREAS, Plaintiff had earlier filed a substantially similar complaint asserting the same
 8 false marking claim against other defendants in *San Francisco Technology Inc. v. Adobe Systems*
 9 *Incorporated, et al.*, Case No. 2009-06083 (“Adobe”), on December 30, 2009;

10 WHEREAS, on April 13, 2010, after full briefing and argument, Judge Seeborg of the
 11 Northern District of California stayed *Adobe* pending resolution of *Stauffer v. Brooks Bros.*,
 12 Appeal Nos. 2009-1428, 2009-1430, 2009-1453 (“*Stauffer*”);

13 WHEREAS, Judge Seeborg held in *Adobe* that the circumstances in which a private party
 14 has standing under Article III of the United States Constitution to bring a *qui tam* action for false
 15 patent marking under 35 U.S.C. § 292(b) is an issue of first impression currently pending before
 16 the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in *Stauffer*;

17 WHEREAS, Judge Seeborg held that once the *Stauffer* decision is rendered, the Federal
 18 Circuit's reasoning and analysis will likely bear directly on this Court's consideration of the
 19 pending motions to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction;

20 WHEREAS, the parties agree that Judge Seeborg's reasoning is equally applicable to this
 21 proceeding and, therefore, stipulate and agree that all claims asserted herein against Defendants,
 22 The Glad Products Company, Colgate-Palmolive Company, Bajer Design & Marketing Inc.,
 23 Combe Incorporated, and Reckitt Benckiser Inc. should be stayed pending a final decision by the
 24 Federal Circuit;

25 WHEREAS, the Stipulation would stay the hearings and all related proceedings on the
 26 Motion to Dismiss (D.I. 76) and Motion to Stay (D.I. 94) filed by Bajer Design & Marketing Inc.
 27 on April 8, 2010;

28

1 WHEREAS, the Stipulation would stay the hearing and all related proceedings on the
 2 Motion to Dismiss (D.I. 83) filed by Colgate-Palmolive Company on April 8, 2010;

3 WHEREAS, of the Defendants, The Glad Products Company and Reckitt Benckiser Inc.
 4 have each previously stipulated with Plaintiff to extend time to respond to the Complaint,
 5 pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-1(a), to May 14, 2010 (D.I. 64 and D.I. 56, respectively);

6 WHEREAS, the purpose of the stay is to narrow the litigated issues in this case and the
 7 stipulating parties have agreed to further narrow the litigated issues in this case by agreeing not to
 8 object to venue and personal jurisdiction in the Northern District of California for this case;

9 WHEREAS, the requested time modification would have no other effect on the schedule
 10 for the case because currently no trial date has been set; and

11 WHEREAS, the parties herein have agreed to stay all proceedings until the Federal
 12 Circuit issues a final decision in the *Stauffer* decision (or further order of the Court).

13 **THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE THAT:**

14 These proceedings and all aspects of the case with respect to Defendants, The Glad
 15 Products Company, Colgate-Palmolive Company, Bajer Design & Marketing Inc., Combe
 16 Incorporated and Reckitt Benckiser Inc., are hereby stayed until 1) the Federal Circuit issues a
 17 final decision in *Stauffer v. Brooks Bros.*, Appeal Nos. 2009-1428, 2009-1430, 2009-1453 (i.e., at
 18 the expiration of time to file a petition for rehearing or the denial of a timely-filed petition), and 2)
 19 further order of the Court in accordance with the Federal Circuit's decision in *Stauffer*;

20 The responsive pleadings of Defendants, The Glad Products Company, Colgate-Palmolive
 21 Company, Bajer Design & Marketing Inc., and Reckitt Benckiser Inc., are hereby due 30 days
 22 thereafter; and

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 The stipulating parties have agreed not to object to venue and personal jurisdiction in the
2 Northern District of California for this case.

3

4

Respectfully submitted,

5

Dated: May 13, 2010

JONES DAY

6

7

By: /s/ Pamela K. Fulmer

8

Pamela K. Fulmer
Counsel for Defendant Reckitt
Benckiser Inc.

9

10 In accordance with General Order No. 45, Section X(B), the above signatory attests that
11 concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatories below.

12

Dated: May 13, 2010

MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C.

13

14

By: /s/ Daniel H. Fingerman

15

Daniel H. Fingerman
MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C.
333 West San Carlos Street,
Suite 1650
San Jose CA 95110
Telephone: (408) 279-7000
Facsimile: (408) 998-1473
Counsel for Plaintiff San Francisco
Technology Inc.

16

17

18

19

Dated: May 13, 2010

FARELLA BRAUN & MARTEL LLP

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

By: /s/ Roderick Manley Thompson

Roderick Manley Thompson
FARELLA BRAUN & MARTEL LLP
235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 954-4400
Facsimile: (415) 954-4480
Counsel for Defendant The Glad
Products Company

1 Dated: May 13, 2010

HANSON BRIDGETT LLP

2

3

By: /s/ Stephen B. Peck

4

5

6

7

Stephen B. Peck
HANSON BRIDGETT LLP
425 Market Street, 26th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 777-3200
Facsimile: (415) 551-9366
Counsel for Defendant Bajer Design &
Marketing Inc.

8

9

Dated: May 13, 2010

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Dated: May 13, 2010

MCMANIS FAULKNER

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

By: /s/ Matthew Schechter

Matthew Schechter
MCMANIS FAULKNER
50 West San Fernando Street
10th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Telephone: (408) 279-8700
Counsel for Defendant Combe
Incorporated

1 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:
2
3 Dated: May 28, 2010
4 By: 
5 THE HON. JEREMY FOGEL
6 United States District Court Judge
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28